



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/514,119	02/28/2000	Phyllis A Schneck	62004-1330	9265

7590

05/25/2004

Tomas Kayden
Horstemeyer & Risley LLP
100 Georgia Parkway Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948

EXAMINER

ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/514,119	SCHNECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kaveh Abrishamkar. (3) ____.
- (2) Sam S. Han. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, 18 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schneck U.S. Patent 6,510,349.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Independent claims 1, 11, 18, and 25 were discussed as Examiner had issued a double patenting rejection based on U.S. Patent 6,510,349. Due to Applicant's Terminal Disclosure claims 1, 11, 18, and 25 were discussed to be allowable upon consultation with SPE. Applicant argued that dependent claims which were not issued a double patenting rejection should be allowable based on their depending on an allowable independent claim. Examiner stated that he would examine the claims and consult with SPE. Applicant stated that a Formal Response would be sent.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required